



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,303	03/01/2004	Gary J. Zyhowski	H0005587	9308	
7:	590 03/22/2005		EXAMINER		
Colleen D. Szuch, Esq.			NGUYEN, I	NGUYEN, HOANG M	
Honeywell					
Law Departmen	nt, AB2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 2245			3748		
Morristown, N	J 07962-2245			_	

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/790,303	ZYHOWSKI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	·	Hoang M Nguyen	3748				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE - Extended after aft	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repoperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da I will appty and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron te, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communicati ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18.	January 2005.					
2a)⊠							
3)□	,						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠							
7)	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	•				
Applicat	tion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ol	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachme	• •						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
3) 🔲 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	_ 🗖	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/790,303

Art Unit: 3748

Applicant's amendment dated January 18, 2005, has been fully considered.

Applicant has argued Drakesmith et al does not disclose "not fully halogened" ether compounds. The Examiner strongly disagrees. Please note column 1, lines 10-15, Drakesmith clearly discloses that the fluorinated ether may be partially or fully fluorinated. Also, the newly added limitation "not fully halogened" is not found in Applicant's specification, even on page 5 as argued by Applicant. Thus, that constitutes new matter and a new ground of rejection.

Applicant has also argued the reference fails to disclose the organic fluid in the dependent claims. Please note Applicant has put many compounds inside parentheses. Thus, it's unclear if the terms/phrases inside parentheses are parts of the claims. Applicant is required to remove the parentheses if he wants to claim those terms/phrases.

The 102 rejection has been maintained for claims, 1, 7, 14, based on Drakesmith et al, and a 103 rejections have been made for all dependent claims.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to

Art Unit: 3748

one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The newly added limitation "not fully halogened" is not found in the specification.

Please point out where in the specification said phrase can be found.

Because Applicant's amendment necessitates a new ground of rejection, this Office Action has been made final.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 7, 14, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4736045 (Drakesmith et al).

Drakesmith et al discloses a Rankine cycle using organic fluid which may be butyl methyl ether or other similar working fluid (note column 15, lines 45-68).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

Claims 2-6, 8-13, 15-19, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. 4736045 (Drakesmith et al). Drakesmith et al discloses all the claimed subject matter as set forth above, but does not disclose different types of organic fluids as claimed. However, Official Notice is taken that changing working fluid is well known in the art. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to select different types of organic fluids as claimed in the system of Drakesmith et al for the purpose of achieving appropriate work outputs based on the special characteristics of the fluid.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3748

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4861. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday--Friday from 12:30 AM to 10:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Denion, can be reached on (571)-272-4859. The fax phone number for the Examiner is (703) 872-9302 for regular communication, and (703) 872-9303 for after final communication.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-3700.

HOANG NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3748

Hoang Minh Nguyen 3/19/05